## Programming pdCIF and Rietveld:

Brian H. Toby NIST Center for Neutron Research

### **Talk Outline**

- Motivation/Goals
- Data Grammars vs. Data Languages
- *CIF*
- Informatics and the next generation of Rietveld software
- Programming writing & reading CIFs

## Motivation for Standardized Data Formats

Back in the dark ages of crystallography every program used its own data format.

 Electronic data communication was unusual and even then by sneakernet.

Even then many crystallographers felt there must be a better way...

### Modern goals:

- Direct communication between
   instruments and data analysis tools
- Interoperability between programs
- Electronic communication of results
- Facile publication
- Productivity increases when computers function for scientists rather than the other way round!

### Data Grammars vs. Data Languages

- A Data Grammar specifies how information will be formatted so that a computer program can interpret it.
  - JCAMP-DX
  - Spreadsheet (.csv)
  - HDF
  - STAR
  - XML

## XML syntax



STAR syntax	(used in CIF)
cell length a 4.766	
_cell_length_c 12.95	
_cell_angle_alpha 90.	$\backslash$
_symmetry_space_group_name_H	1-M 'R -3 c '
Data name	Nata Valua
otom site lebel	
_atom_site_tupe_symbol	
atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity	
_atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity	Table of data
_atom_site_fract_v	("loop")
_atom_site_fract_z	
01 0 18 0 33 0 0 0 25	
01 0 10 0.00 0.0 0.20	

### Data Language:

- · Built on a data grammar (usually)
- · Provides rigorous definitions for each data value
- · Establishes validation information (usually)
- · Defines logical relationships between data items (optional)

CIF: first comprehensive & interoperable data language for crystallography

### Data languages are not new

		C12	0.661000 1.000	000-0.175266 0.3	352517 0.950755 0	.0			
		0.012936	0.012232 0.018	491 0.002923 0.0	08046 0.001071		0	1	0
		C13	0.661000 1.000	000-0.055392 0.3	314579 0.925104 0	.0			
		0.010921	0.009871 0.014	343 0.000394 0.0	005261-0.001410		0	1	0
		01	0.577000 1.000	000 0.414647-0.0	09305 0.801699 0	.0			
		0.014915	0.010743 0.0203	270 0.002523 0.0	08903-0.001350		0	1	0
i		02	0.577000 1.000	000 0.068052 0.2	227607 0.664516 0	.0			
	3.2.4.1 Pos	itional Parameters					0	1	0
	The	positional parameter c	ards have FORMA	f (A6,3X,6F9.0).					
	Columns	Type 0	Type 1	Type 2	Type 3				
		-71	-71	-71					
	1-6	Up to six alp	hanumeric characte	ers centered in the six-	-place field				
	7.0								
	7-9		-	_					
	10-18	[Feature #1]	[Feature #1]	[Feature #1]	$x_0$ (Å, Cartesian)				
	19-27	[Feature #2]	[Feature #2]	[Feature #2]	v. (Å. Cartesian)				
		[			,0((1,0))				
	28-36	x (fractional, crystal)	x (A, crystal)	x (A, Cartesian)	r (A, cylindrical)				
	37-45	y (fractional, crystal)	y (Å, crystal)	y (Å, Cartesian)	φ (°, cylindrical)				
	46-54	z (fractional, crystal)	z (Å, crystal)	z (Å, Cartesian)	z (Å, cylindrical)				
	63	0	1	2	3				
1	0.5	0		-	5				

### What's so special about CIF?

### Each data item in CIF is defined in a computer-readable dictionary

>3,000 defined terms (250+ pages in Int. Tabl.)	_atom_site_aniso_U_11 _atom_site_aniso_U_12 _atom_site_aniso_U_13 _atom_site_aniso_U_22 _atom_site_aniso_U_23 _atom_site_aniso_U_33 (numb Dees are te standard misotomic atomic displacement compo				
uses subset of STAR data grammar	nents in anyströms squared which appear in the structure fatterm: $T = \exp\left\{-2\pi^2 \sum_i \left[\sum_j (U^{ij}h_ih_ja_i^*a_j^*)\right]\right\}$ $h = \text{the Miller indices, } a^* = \text{the reciprocal-space cell lengths}$ The unique elements of the real symmetric matrix are ented by row.				
	Appears in list containing _atom_site_aniso_label. Related item(s): _atom_site_aniso_B_ (conversion). [atom_site				
> 20 years of davidory	mont offort (adopted by				

>20 years of development effort (adopted by IUCr in 1990.)

## **CIF** has redefined small molecule publishing

- CIF is the uncontested standard for communication of structure factors & crystal structure results
- · Reduces errors in print: Journals can use structure validation software
- Bond distance & angle tables are generated directly from the CIF
- Required for IUCr Journals (Acta Cryst., etc.)

### Impact on mm (via PDB) is probably even larger

### How does CIF work:

#### CIF Syntax \_cell\_length\_a cell\_length\_c

- Data names (tags) & values
- \_cell\_angle\_alpha loop : links sets of data names & sets of values
- (Tables) • Dictionary specifies:
  - Definition
  - Rules on allowed values
  - Category
  - All data names in loop must be in same c O1 O 0.33 0.0 0.25 - Loop rules
    - · Specifies which data items can/must/cannot be looped
    - · Specifies logical connections between loops
    - · Specifies a unique item for each loop
- loop\_ \_atom\_site\_label \_atom\_site\_type\_symbol \_atom\_site\_fract\_x \_atom\_site\_fract\_y \_atom\_site\_fract\_z

AI1 AI 0.0 0.0 0.34

4.766

12.95

90.

### **CIF** Dictionaries

### CIF definitions are developed by teams with widespread interests

- · Core -- fundamental & single-crystal terms
- mmCIF -- macromolecular
- pdCIF -- powder diffraction
- msCIF -- modulated structures
- imgCIF -- 2D images
- symCIF -- symmetry
- rhoCIF -- electron density
- diffCIF -- diffuse scattering (in progress)

### The two dialects of CIF Dictionaries

- In the course of defining CIF dictionaries, the mmCIF designers wanted more database structure than required for CIF initially.
  - Created a dictionary for defining dictionaries:
     DDL (data definition language)
  - After inflicting many database structures into DDL v1.x, the mmCIF was written using DDL2.x
  - Programs that read dictionaries need to be aware of DDL1 vs DDL2 differences,
  - programs that only read/write CIFs do not.
  - Discussions on merging DDLs are underway

### **CIF Information Sources**

- Formal specifications, see: <u>http://www.iucr.org/iucr-top/cif/</u>
- Also see templates & examples on Acta Cryst. Author's Guides
- International Tables Vol. G (today in Florence!)
- Developer's discussion list http://www.iucr.org/iucr-top/lists/cif-developers/

### CIF for Powder Diffraction (pdCIF)

Universal data format for powder diffraction Goals beyond those of CIF:

- Accommodate all types of powder data

   Flexibility in conflict with mmCIF
- Document experimental geometry, conditions
- Record "raw" and processed diffraction data & Rietveld fits

### Editorial comment:

- pdCIF is far more important than a mechanism for data interchange & review.
- CIF has the potential to be the cornerstone of the next generation of Rietveld analysis software

### The future of "Rietveld"

- Problems increasingly are more complex than can be accommodated with powder data at any resolution
  - Need incorporate many additional types of observations and constraints
- Characterize non-periodic aspects of crystal structures
  - Local order; stacking faults; defects

# The Next Generation of Data Fitting

- Codes should be modular, glued by a scripting language: customization
- Data modules can compute contributions to design matrix & least-squares vector against data & restraints
- Hard constraint modules can reduce parameters using GSAS or Finger-Prince approach
- Minimizer modules can develop & apply shifts from Hessian
- Cost function modules can keep parameters in bounds by adding to design matrix or Hessian

# CIF is the control file for next generation data fitting

- CIF defines the basis for state-of-art refinement data objects
- Where models cannot be described, CIF must expand
- Data item descriptions are rigorous so that structure factors can be computed directly from the CIF Modulated structures
  - Need more defect model descriptions
- Powder data can be simulated to match data items found in CIF
- With CIF additions PDF fitting becomes straightforward

## CIF without STAR?

- · CIF contains 20 years of informatics design efforts
- CIF is poor for large data structures
  - HDF is a portable data grammar for large data volumes
  - NeXus (HDF for scattering) not yet a complete data
  - language
- XML is state-of-art ASCII data grammar
  - Again not a data language
- CIF definitions can be transferred to other data grammars
  - Efforts to pair XML and CIF are underway (c.f. IUCr Florence, 2005)
  - A marriage between CIF & NeXus would benefit everyone

## Programming with CIF: Resources

- International Tables Volume G
- Open-source CIF parsers (see www.iucr.org/iucr-top/cif/software/):
  - CIFtbx 3.0 [Fortran]
  - Rutgers mmCIF lib [C]
  - CBFlib (used in RasMol) [C?] <u>http://www.bernstein-plus-sons.com/software/CBF</u>
  - PyCifRW [Python] www.ansto.gov.au/natfac/ANBF/CIF/
  - CIFIO in XTAL pkg [RATMAC=FORTRAN] xtal.sf.net

### Personal Experiences in CIF Programming

- GSAS2CIF
  - Exports GSAS refinements in CIF
  - FillTemplate
  - Enter information into CIF templates (EXPGUI)
  - CIFSelect
    - Set [don't] publish flag in bond distances (EXPGUI)
- pdCIFplot
  - Plots Rietveld fits from CIF
- CIFEDIT
  - CIF validation & editor
- CMPR

### **GSAS2CIF: Challenges**

- Potentially complex data structures:
  - N (≤9) phases
  - M (≤99) data sets
  - NxM+1 CIF Blocks (or 1 if N=M=1)
- Reuse of author-entered information (metadata)
- · Avoid use of CIF parser

Toby, B. H., Von Dreele, R. B, and Larson, A. C., "Reporting of Rietveld Results Using pdCIF: GSAS2CIF", J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1290 (2003) http://www.ncnr.nist.gov/xtal/software/expgui/gsas2cif.html

### **GSAS2CIF:** Solutions

- Divide Acta Cryst. template into sections
  - 1. Publication info
  - 2. Sample/characterization info (need N copies)
  - 3. Instrument/data collection info (need M copies)
  - Remove parameters "known" to GSAS
- CIF is generated by "quilting" together template sections with fit results
- Author-entered info (metadata) goes into template sections not into "final" CIF
  - Quick regeneration of new "final CIF"
  - Sharing of template sections between projects

### GSAS2CIF GUI Tools: FillTemplate

Author must supply metadata -- entered into template



### GSAS2CIF GUI Tools: FillTemplate Author must supply

Author must supply metadata -- entered into template



### GSAS2CIF GUI Tools: FillTemplate Author must supply

Author must supply metadata -- entered into template

Cold Browser: Hie Control _ publ_author_name     publ_section_tile     publ_section	10
publ section yrotes     publ section true     publ section tr	' LL
Add to loop     Detete loop     Detete loop	ntry
template GARNET_publ.cif Next ? in Exit Show CIF Show CIF Undo Redo Save	<del>l</del> elp



### GSAS2CIF GUI Tools: CIFSelect

Select distances & angles for publication – Keep flags in separate file

Selection modes	
Select phase	Response to Mouse click:
<u> </u>	🔶 Toggle 💠 Set 💸 Clear
Select atom	Distance selection: select matching angles?
Y1	🔶 Yes 🛛 🔷 No
FE2	
AL3 -	
AL4	Save Export Tables Exit

### GSAS2CIF GUI Tools: CIFSelect

Select distances & angles for publication

### – Keep flags in separate file

		Dista	nce & Angle '	Table					_ 🗆 X
Selection modes			Dis	tances ai	nd angles	around	atom AL3	3	
Select phase	Bash		distance	O6_a	06_b	06_i	06_j	06_k	
Select phase		O6_a	1.9832(7)						A
	· · · · · ·	06_b	1.9832(7)	85.47(3)					
Select atom	Distance selec	06_i	1.9832(7)	85.47(3)	85.47(3)				
¥1	🔶 Ves	06_j	1.9832(7)	179.966	<mark>94.53(3)</mark>	94.53(3)			
FE2		06_k	1.9832(7)	<mark>94.53(3)</mark>	179.966	94.53(3)	<mark>85.47(3)</mark>		
AL3		O6_I	1.9832(7)	<mark>94.53(3)</mark>	<mark>94.53(3)</mark>	179.966	<mark>85.47(3)</mark>	<mark>85.47(3)</mark>	
AL4	Save	Y1_a	3.40697(5)						
11		Y1_b	3.40697(4)						
		Y1_e	3.40697(4)						
		Y1_f	3.40697(4)						-
		V1 ~	3 40697/51						

### pdCIFplot: Challenge

- Change pdCIF from write-only to RW: Plot powder diffraction data/results from CIF
- Requirements
  - Select from many relevant data fields
  - Need Tcl/Tk CIF parser
- Task 1: tabulate possible data names for abscissa & ordinates

Toby, B. H., "Inspecting Rietveld Fits from pdCIF: pdCIFplot", *J. Appl.Cryst.* **36**, 1285 (2003) http://www.ncnr.nist.gov/xtal/software/cif/pdCIFplot.html

#### Table 1

pdCIF data items used for recording the powder diffraction dependent variable.

_pd_meas_2theta_range_†	Uncorrected $2\theta$ values with constant steps
_pd_meas_2theta_scan	Uncorrected $2\theta$ values, which may not have constant steps
$_pd_proc_2theta_range_\dagger$	Calibration corrected $2\theta$ values with constant steps
_pd_proc_2theta_corrected	Calibration corrected $2\theta$ values, which may not have constant steps
_pd_meas_time_of_flight	Time for time-of-flight neutron diffraction measurements
_pd_meas_position	Linear detector position
_pd_proc_energy_incident	X-ray energy for energy-dispersive measurements
_pd_proc_wavelength	X-ray or neutron wavelength, when not constant
_pd_proc_d_spacing	d spacing corresponding to an intensity value
_pd_proc_recip_len_Q	Momentum transfer $(Q = 4\pi \sin \theta / \lambda)$ for an intensity value

† The data names indicated as \_pd\_XXXX\_2theta\_range\_ actually correspond to three CIF data items, \_pd\_XXXX\_2theta\_range\_min, \_pd\_XXXX\_2theta\_range\_max and \_pd\_XXXX\_2theta\_range\_inc, which define a range of equally spaced values.

### Table 2

pdCIF data items used for powder diffraction intensity values.

Observed intensities	Uncertainty values				
y(obs)	$\sigma_{y(\mathrm{obs})}$				
pd meas counts total	pd meas counts total;				
_r	_pd_meas_intensity_total‡				
_pd_proc_intensity_total	_pd_proc_intensity_total‡				
_pd_proc_intensity_net	_pd_proc_intensity_net‡				
	_pd_proc_ls_weight§				
$\dagger$ Standard uncertainty is the square-root of the cou	nts for this data item.				
Background intensity	Calculated intensities				
y(bck)	y(calc)				
nd mana counts baskenound	nd cold intensity not				

\_pd\_calc\_intensity\_total

\_pd\_meas\_counts\_container \_pd\_meas\_intensity\_background \_pd\_meas\_intensity\_container \_pd\_proc\_intensity\_bkg\_calc \_pd\_proc\_intensity\_bkg\_fix

## Sequential GUI programming (ugh)

### Select block (skipped if no choices)

ſ	pdCIF Plotter: file samples	:/NISI.cif	_ = >
	<u>File</u> Plots <u>W</u> indows	Options	<u>H</u> elp
	♦ block1 NISI_publ		
	♦ block2 NISI_overall		
	♦ block3 NISI_phase_1		
	♦ block4 NISI_phase_2		
	♦ block5 NISI_p_01		
	block6 NISI_p_02		

### Specify plot contents

Plot Rietveld results				
Set 1: 3299 points				
CIF Data Item		color	symbol	line
(select an option) –	x-axis			
pd_meas_intensity_total	y(obs)	black -	cross –	no line 🛛 🗕
(select an option) –	sigma(y)		Plot error ba	rs
_pd_calc_intensity_total _	y(calc)	red 🚽	none –	thin —
_pd_proc_intensity_bkg_calc -	y(bck)	green –	none –	thick —
Reflection Marks		🗆 Su	btract backg	round
Show Tickmarks for reflections	obs-calc	blue –	none –	thin —
Reflection Index Browser		🗆 Off	set differenc	e plot
Automatic tickmark placement	Cumulative Chi2	cyan —	none –	thick -
	(obs-calc)/sig	black -	none –	thin =
Tickmark locations Ymax: 105%	norm	black –	none –	thin =
		Inte	ensity rescal	ing
Plot Close		Don'	t renormalize	-

Specify	y pl	r Data tudin
Plot Rietveld results Set 1: 3299 points	d_m	pdneas_time_of_flight (TOF, ms) Q (1/A) from _pd_proc_d_spacing
CIF Data Item	Id. calc.	intensity totalv(calc)
(select an option)	x-axis	
_pd_meas_intensity_total	y(obs)	black - cross - no line -
(select an option) -	sigma(y)	🗌 Plot error bars
_pd_calc_intensity_total —	y(calc)	red - none - thin -
_pd_proc_intensity_bkg_calc	y(bck)	green – none – thick –
Reflection Marks		Subtract background
Show Tickmarks for reflections	obs-calc	blue - none - thin -
Reflection Index Browser		🔄 Offset difference plot
Automatic tickmark placement	Cumulative Chi2	cyan - none - thick -
TICKMARK COLOP Black -	(obs-calc)/sig	black - none - thin -
Tickmark locations Ymax: 105%	norm	black - none - thin -
		Intensity rescaling
Plot Close		Don't renormalize 🚽



