Should we remediate small molecule structures? If so, who should do it?

> **Carl Schwalbe** Aston University

Suzanna Ward, Simon Coles and Natalie Johnson

The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre University of Southampton

ECM32 – 18th August 2019



Birmingham

Aston University

Carl Schwalbe 1942-2019

Carl Schwalbe

2nd June 1942 - 1st August 2019

"A man of science" "A man of great integrity"



Halmer End Methodist Church 16th August, 2019 Interment will follow at Bradwell Crematorium

Service conducted by Revd Joy Ventom

CCDC

Carl Schwalbe 1942-2019



https://www.iucr.org/gallery/2009/aca-09?result_42723_result_page=24 https://www.amercrystalassn.org/assets/RefleXions/FALL2017RS.pdf https://www2.aston.ac.uk/lhs/staff/az-index/schwalch

CCDC

A fine researcher

• The CCDC

- 2010-2019 Emeritus Research Fellow
- Aston University
 - 2010-2019 Emeritus Professor of Medicinal Chemistry
 - 2007-2010 Professor of Medicinal Chemistry,
 - 1979-2007 Senior Lecturer in Medicinal Chemistry,
 - 1972-79 Lecturer in Medicinal Chemistry
- Max Planck Institute for Experimental Medicine
 - 1970-72 Research Fellow, (PI, Prof. W. Saenger)
- Harvard University
 - 1965-70 PhD., (PI, Prof. William N. Lipscomb)
 - 1964 AM
- Oberlin College
 - 1959-63 AB, Chemistry (summa cum laude)

Professor Carl H. Schwalbe

Emeritus Professor of Medicinal Chemistry

Aston Pharmacy School School of Life & Health Sciences Aston University Aston Triangle Birmingham B4 7ET UK



email: <u>c.h.schwalbe@aston.ac.uk</u> telephone: +44 (0) 121 204 4009 fax: +44 (0) 121 204 5142

Member of the Medicines Research Unit

Member of research strand: 'Development of novel drugs, formulations and tissues to support healthy ageing' - <u>Aston Research Centre for Healthy Ageing</u>

Member of the Pharmacy and Biology Teaching Programmes

Research Interests



- Determination of molecular structure by X-ray crystallography
- Theoretical calculation of electronic and conformational properties
- Relation of structural and electronic features to activity of drugs
- Correlation of solid-state intermolecular interactions with physico-chemical properties of drugs in solid dosage forms



A stalwart of the BCA



From the Editor

UR Spring Meeting is rapidly approaching. The details in this issue and on the website should onvince anyone who is still indecided that this is a meeting hich simply cannot be misse Our cover shows 3 scenes from e University of Warwick, starting with the Sciences Building, the nue for our scientific sessions

Before some previous Spring you ducks; there are water features It Warwick that might attract them. I thank Amy Stares the BSG Meeting pictures and Cheryl Doherty for the IG/CCG meeting picture.

exboard and dash of a couple of brilliant abstracts. [When] started in crystallography, I'd have said "put pen to paper". poose some of you will now put fingers to touchscreen. whole slightly when I do this, remembering how I used to tell off my students when they touched the screen of my precious Silicon Graphics workstation with greatly fingers.) ut I have digressed. The American Crystallographic Associatio eeting will take place from 20-24 July. For those of you who think that The Donald might not let you in, worry not Because this year's venue is Toronto, you can expect a hearty Canadian elcome. Toronto is a beautiful city with a scenic lakehort and unspolled country nearby. Important deadlines are March

30 for abstracts. May 31 for Early Bird redistration and June 8 for reserving a discounted room at the conference hotel. The topic of this year's Transactions Symposium is "Shining a Light on Structure A month later we'll be able to enjoy the European

Crystallographic Meeting in Oviedo. Spain, Dates to enter into ur dary are 22-27 August for the actual meeting, 22 April for Early Bird registration and 29 April for abstracts. Being ted in the extreme north of Spain at an attitude of 80 to 709 m above sea level. Oviedo escapes the searing Spanish nmer heat. In August the average high at the weather station is 23.3°C. These conditions, along with a compact storic district and pleasant parks, make Ovledo & good place to enjoy a brisk walk before or after spending time in ctures. The European Union has named this city on a list of the cleanest cities in Europe. With glacial slowness I have produced a write-up of last year's meeting of the German Crystallographic Society (DGK). The timing does have one advantage. The first plenary lecture was given by Prof. Ime Schlichting on the subject of "Protein ucture and dynamics using X-ray tree electron lasers". My trief a mmany cannot morphily do a stime to a fearination lecture on the holtest of hot topics, but I hope it will what you appetite for this year's RCA Spring Meeting, where the RSG nary lecture will be given by none other than ... Ime Schlichting Jas for this year's DEK meeting you have ket

enough time to book last minute travel tickets and pack your

bacs. While the 2017 meeting was held in late March, this year

books which became classics and omanised schools on reutron scattering. It is fitting that we pay tribute to his brillance as a scientist and helpfulness to colleagues. With sachess I mad the death notice on the IUCr website to Perfessor Alalos Kálmán He was a distinguisheri chemical crystallographer at the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, for whom ResearchGate lists 424 publications, I became acquainted with him through his important research on the structure of heterocyclic compounds, which matched interest of mine. Even while Hungary was ruled by communism, he always seemed ready to have a free-ranging scientific discussion. Those of you who have tollowed my presentation

Roland Tellgren, in 2003 he published the structure with th

imposed by the iron Curtain. This polymorph (A) of 1,2,3,5 etra-O-acetyl-B-D-riboluranose was the first one prepared. It 1947; but by 1964 laboratories in western Europe, the USA and Australia could only grow a more stable polymorph (B). However, in 1961 polymorph A reappeared in Budapest and was carefully protected from contamination since then, its excessively short H... H contacts may well be the reason why It is a disappearing polymorph

it moves forward to 5-8 March. Furthermore, while last year's version ware. Karley, the in the halms wine-constinue southwest of

Germany, this year it is Essen with its more bracing climate The evolution of Essen is fascinating. It has gone from a powerhouse of heavy industry to rustbelt to a burgeoning

ew centre of culture and high tech. Last year it was select as the European Green Capital. Other meeting reports are leatured in this issue too. November 2017 our Industrial and Chemical Crystallograph Groups joined forces for an Autumn Meeting on a topic, Design of Crystaline Products' that was relevant to both groups. The presentations revealed cutting-edge research it he determination and prediction of crystal structures along with its great technological importance. Just a week before ras our Biological Structures Group held its Winter Aleting. Perhaps to blow away some excessively frothy

Christmas cheer, they introduced a note of anguish as well as outation with the title "The Joy and Pain of Structural Biolog Research". Although the cover shows that they still had a goo time, presentations by a stellar array of speakers demonstra that the Latin motio "Per ascera ad astra" could well be applied to this area of research. Then the New Year got off to s customary mind-opening start in the form of the CCP4 Budy Weekend in Nottingham. You may recall that the BCA contributed support for two participants to attend the 1st Par Atrican Contenence of Crystallography in October 2016. Their reports in this issue show how much they benefited.

In this issue we have an obtuary for Terry Willis, who did such tant work in the development of in the UK and promoted its use via collaboration with academic scientists including Dorothy Hodgkin. He also co-authored

at recent BCA meetings will know that impossibly close H....H contacts in published structures are a concern of mine. Togethe ith his coleagues Petra Bombicz, Mátyás Czugler and

then shortest known genuine C.H., H-C contact (1.949(7) Å refcode TACRIB04, verified by neutron as well as X-ray diffraction. Perhaps we should be grateful for the isolation

Honorary Members of the BCA

Honorary Life Membership is the BCA's highest membership accolade. The award is made in recognition of significant contributions by the recipient to crystallographic science and to the work of the BCA....Council normally accord Honorary Membership to a maximum of two people in one calendar year.

Professor Carl Schwalbe (2018)

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS



Editor "Crystallography News" Prof Carl H Schwalbe 15 St. Augustine Drive, Droitwich, Worcs, WR9 8QR Tel: 01905 775257 carlschwalbe@hotmail.com



https://crystallography.org.uk/assets/pdf/crystallography-news/2018-03.pdf

Chick for updates

Taylor & Francis

aylor & Francis Group

Should we remediate small molecule structures? If so, who should do it?

Carl H. Schwalbea,b

^aCambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, Cambridge, UK; ^bSchool of Life and Health Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, UK

ABSTRACT

ARTICLE HISTORY Problems can arise in crystallographic databases with errors and Received 16 April 2018 omissions in the representation of data that impede searches, and Accepted 1 August 2018 with errors in the actual data. While the Cambridge Crystallographic

KEYWORD! Erroneous structures;

carboxylic acids; imidazoles; preventing errors; rectifying errors.

Data Centre with its Improvement Projects has solved many of the first category of problems, errors in atomic coordinates and other crystallographic data are surprisingly common. Although modern software warns of many types of error, such errors appear even in recently deposited Crystallographic Information Files. Richard Marsh found many examples of missed symmetry in assignment of the space group; such errors are now waning. Hydrogen atoms are commonly placed in calculated positions. Particularly for OH and NH groups involved in hydrogen bonds, occupancy factors may need to be reduced to 0.5 or the hydrogen atom positions may require amendment. Examples of acids and imidazole derivatives are provided, showing that sometimes only consideration of bond distances and angles at the heteroatom can distinguish between OH or NH and unprotonated O or N. Significant work by other researchers correcting mis-positioned hydrogen atoms in dihydrogen phosphates and water aggregates as well as mis-identified elements is also summarized. This review concludes with some suggestions for more comprehensive detection and correction of errors in deposited data.

1. Introduction

X-ray crystallography is generally regarded as the 'gold standard' for structure determination. However, in 2011 David Watkin [1] posed the question 'Is the Gold Standard becoming tarnished?' Earlier, P. G. Jones [2] published a thought-provoking review advising chemists about aspects of a crystal structure determination that pose difficulties for chemical crystallographers, and ways to spot when work has been done erroneously. Particular issues arise with macromolecular crystallography. As always, it is important to document the data collection procedures; and, because the limited data-to-parameter ratio necessitates model fitting in most such structure determinations, these procedures

CONTACT Carl H. Schwalbe Carlschwalbe@hotmail.com

(1) The re-refined version of the structure with CSD refcode YOTYOW has been deposited with CCDC under deposition number 1850426. Also refer for further details https://doi.org/10.1080/0889311X.2018.1508209

A recent article

This talk is partly based on Carl's thought-provoking article published in 2018 on the same topic:

 Should we remediate small molecule structures? If so, who should do it? Carl H. Schwalbe Crystallography Reviews 2018, 24 217-235 DOI: 10.1080/0889311X.2018.1508209?



Should we remediate small molecule structures?

- New reports of small molecule crystal structures should be error-free
 - Most reputable journals require validation of crystallographic data with CheckCIF
 - CheckCIF integrated into the CCDC deposition procedure
- Not all errors pointed out
 - Some journals appear to ignore or not use crystallographic referees
- What if authors are unable or unwilling to make corrections when required?
 - Should an otherwise correct structure be rejected because a hydrogen atom has been incorrectly placed?
 - Or disorder of a terminal methyl group has not been entered into the model?
- Should such a structure be published or deposited with a warning message, or should a corrected version be created?
- These questions have particular force with regard to already published structures that have errors



When remediation goes wrong



- Coordinates hand-typed & transcribed at CCDC
- CCDC checks to identify and correct typing errors
- Impossible bond distances corrected by:
 - Adding or deleting a minus sign
 - Transposing a pair of digits
 - Including a clear statement of what had been altered.
- CYGUAN and the unintended effect
 - The x-coordinate of amino N5 missing a minus sign
 - Change in N5 to C atom too small to be noticed
 - N5 to H's distances were too long and it was assumed the H atoms were wrong and they were deleted
- Saved by neutron diffraction CYGUAN01 and Carl

Carl.H. Schwalbe, W.E.Hunt, Chemical Communications, 1978, 188, DOI: 10.1039/C39780000188 Carl H. Schwalbe, *Crystallography Reviews* , 2018, 24 217-235 DOI: 10.1080/0889311X.2018.1508209

Crystallographic "vigilantes"

- Space group symmetry
 - R E. Marsh (2009), Acta Cryst. B65, 782-783
- Misplaced hydrogen atoms
 - I. Bernal & S. F. Watkins (2013), Acta Cryst. C69, 808-810.
 - C. H. Schwalbe (2016) Abstract 01.11.01.12, 66th ACA Annual Meeting, Denver. Acta Cryst. (2017). A73, a133 Should we remediate small molecule structures? If so, who should do it? Carl Schwalbe United Kingdom Aston University
- Misidentified atoms, misplaced H atoms, etc.
 - F. Fronczek, (2019) ACA Abstract. How to Remedy Incorrect Duplicates in the CSD?

CCDC

Space Group Symmetry – "Marshed"

>1,350 structures

Improved Structures 84%

- Issues spotted predominantly were:
 - Missing inversion centres in a non-centrosymmetric structures
 - Other missing symmetry elements
- Leading to assignment of and refinement in the wrong space group
- Spotting and correcting these was non-trivial as
 - Data often only available from the printed supplementary pages
 - Data entered by hand
 - Structure re-refined in corrected space group



Data integrity checks

A service of the International Union of Crystallography

checkCIF reports on the consistency and integrity of crystal structure determinations reported in CIF format.

Please upload your CIF using the form below. 🕖

File name: Choose File No file chosen

Select form of checkCIF report HTML PDF PDF (recommended for CIFs that might take a long time to check)

Select validation type

Full validation of CIF and structure factors Full IUCr publication validation of CIF and structure factors Validation of CIF only (no structure factors)

Output Validation Response Form Level A alerts only Level A and B alerts Level A, B and C alerts None

Send CIF for checking

Information about this version of checkCIF ...

Useful links Prepublication check for submissions to IUCr journals Details of checkCIF/PLATON tests CIF dictionary Download CIF editor (publCIF) from the IUCr Download CIF editor (enCIFer) from the CCDC

checkCIF is sponsored by **IUCr** Journals

WILEY



RESEARCH PAPERS

Structure validation in chemical crystallography

A. L. Spek



OPEN & ACCESS

Automated structure validation was introduced in chemical crystallography about 12 years ago as a tool to assist practitioners with the exponential growth in crystal structure analyses. Validation has since evolved into an easy-to-use checkCIF/PLATON web-based IUCr service. The result of a crystal structure determination has to be supplied as a CIF-formatted computer-readable file. The checking software tests the data in

My Crystallographic History- R.E.Marsh 2013 http://www.amercrystalassn.org/h-marsh

Fortunately, in the past few years they have decreased in number, thanks to computer programs such as CheckCIF and to slowly-successful pleas to journal editors to insure that authors make use of these programs. My recent surveys have suggested that the "wrong structure" disease may be getting close to extinction

Published data case study 1 Tautomerism in triazoles



- Ab-initio calculations show 1*H* 6.25 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable
- CSD shows 203 1*H* vs 7 4*H* tautomer hits
- Do the 7 4H tautomers actually exist?!



Redetermination...



- Two 4H tautomers redetermined as 1H (CLTRZL & JUGYOB)
- These two pairs of structures enable evaluation of descriptors to establish tautomeric form
 - Electron density and H-bonding poor (1- and 4- positions link with each other into chains, so unclear which N is protonated)
 - Bond distances to N poor as similar distances for formally 'single' and 'double' bonds wrongly suggests N's are identical
 - Endocyclic bond angles good as VSEPR 'squeezes' angles at unprotonated N atoms, revealing identity



Reinvestigation required

- DAMTRZ21 isostructural unit cell with 3 other 1*H* structures
 - CheckCIF Level A Alert about a D-H...H-D clash of 1.29 Å
 - Endocyclic angles clearly show that H atom should be on N1
- MAJSOH has no comparison, but...
 - CheckCIF Level C Alert that N4-H lacks an acceptor
 - Moving the H atom from N4 to N1 would make a bifurcated HB
- FALDAZ has 3 triazoles (two identical with missing H and third 4H)
 - Endocyclic angles suggest 1H tautomers throughout
 - A credible HB scheme can be created by reversing N4-H...N



Triazole structures continued...

- FUZPOH devoid of actual or potential N-H...N hydrogen bond
 - Bond angles give a fairly weak indication of a 1*H* tautomer
 - Moving the H atom to N1 allows N2 and N4 to accept C-H...N HBs
- DEGNIM triazole incorporated into a crown ether
 - Water molecule that can interact with triazole N and ether O atoms
 - Endocyclic angles seem to contradict a 4*H* tautomer but may be affected by attachment to the macrocycle
 - The water molecule is significant
 - With the 4H tautomer as reported it can make three HBs
 - A different tautomer would only allow it two HBs





Conclusions (triazole)

- Reported 4H structures are rare and, with one exception, likely to be incorrect
- CheckCIF Alerts about N-H donors without acceptors or clashing N-H...H-X or N...N but otherwise silent about correct tautomer
- Bond distances for C-NH and C=N can be misleadingly similar
- Endocyclic bond angles, affected by VSEPR are useful to distinguish C-N(H)-X from C=N-X
- Need to evaluate trends in related structures to understand which descriptors to use for disambiguation



Published data case study 2 Misplaced H atoms and undetected disorder



- The imidazole ring of histidine can participate in proton relays
- Protonation sites may be obscure due to similarity of electron density between H-bonded NH...:N and N:...HN
- Pyzl Geometrical criteria can be more reliable
- Differences in Im C-NH and C=N bond length and C-NH-C and C=N-C bond angle are most significant (Malinska *et al.*, 2015)
- Neutron diffraction on Im at 103 K shows 1.347, 1.322 Å and 107.1, 105.1°
- In Z' = 2 Pyzl exhibits some charge transfer between rings and NH/N disorder
- At 100 K Pyzl C-NH and C=N distances are 1.338, 1.334 and 1.347, 1.330 Å; C-NH-N and C=N-NH angles are 112.2, 104.2 and 112.2, 104.5°





Imidazoles

DISDIF - difference between C-NH and C=N bond distances ANGDIF - difference between angles

> Mean DISDIF = 0.024(12) Å Mean ANGDIF =2.3(8)°.



CCDC

Misplaced hydrogens

- 5 structures with large negative difference
 - In 3 with intermolecular NH...N interactions, exchanging protonation sites makes the differences positive and preserves the hydrogen bonding scheme



A trickier structure

- Interchanging N and NH creates problems elsewhere
- Structure factors deposited
- Difference electron density map confirmed:
 - H atoms on tetrazole N6, Im C1 and Im N1
 - No H atom on N2
- Surprises!
 - No H atom appeared on N7 or elsewhere on tetrazole ring
 - Four H atoms surrounded the "water oxygen atom"
- An ammonium salt!
 - Chemical analysis required for unequivocal confirmation, but NH₄Cl was a reagent in the synthesis

′() | Y()\/\

1.312

N10

N3

N6

Ν4

N5

2,981

352

N1

N7

N8

107.03

104.88



The last misplaced H structure

- Differences of -0.059 Å and -0.83° suggest protonation of the "wrong" imidazole N atom
 - Disconcertingly close contacts
 - Swapping N and NH on the imidazole ring worsens the log-jam of H atoms



- Rotating the pyridine ring by 180° about its link to imidazole appears to work
 - The new H atoms appear too close, but some relaxation might take place

Rotational disorder of imidazole rings

- DISDIF / ANGDIF plot shows points near origin with absolute values of differences much < 0.021 Å and 2.4°
- Likely explanation is disorder, some rings having been rotated so as to interchange N and NH within the ring
- Known phenomenon Drew *et al.* carefully compared possible tautomers of an imidazole structure with reference both to crystal structure and DFT calculations
- Packing requires 50:50 occupancy
- They cited 3 other structures which had been refined with 50:50 disorder of tautomers

Drew MGB, Das D, De S, Naskar JP, Datta D. (2008) J. Chem. Cryst. 38: 507-512

Pyrazoles

ISDI

- DISDIF difference between C-NH and C=N bond distances
- ANGDIF difference between C-NH-N and C=N-NH angles
- While most Pyzl structures have large positive ANGDIF, the long "tail" towards zero suggests that N/NH disorder is common
- Negative ANGDIF values suggest errors

Mean DISDIF = 0.009(11) Å, Mean ANGDIF = $7(2)^{\circ}$ 0.050 -10 -5 0 5 10 ANGDIF

6 representative examples



NH...N linkages between rings may be swapped • EYUPUK -0.021, -6.22

- DICQUD -0.025, -6.30
- GINZIN -0.011, -5.94



H...H clashes and missing hydrogen bonds

- CASKUE -0.036, -7.70
- NABVUK -0.036, -6.67
- VOJZEB -0.045, -5.47

24

Conclusions (Im and Pyzl)

- Some reported crystal structures of neutral Im and Pyzl derivatives appear to have NH mistaken for N, or disordered swapping.
- CheckCIF often doesn't pick these kind of issues up
- Ring geometry (in combination with sensible H-bonding network and chemistry) provides a useful means to distinguish N from NH
- These are essentially 'human' checks right now
- Difficult to see these issues when looking at individual structures need to see trends in related structures



What tools are available for new structures?



26

A service of the International Union of Crystallography

checkCIF reports on the consistency and integrity of crystal structure determinations reported in CIF format.

Please upload your CIF using the form below.

File name: Choose File No file chosen

Select form of checkCIF report • HTMI PDF PDF (recommended for CIFs that might take a long time to check)

Select validation type Full validation of CIF and structure factors Full IUCr publication validation of CIF and structure factors Validation of CIF only (no structure factors)

Output Validation Response Form Level A alerts only Level A and B alerts Level A, B and C alerts None

Send CIF for checking

Information about this version of checkCIF ...

Useful links Prepublication check for submissions to IUCr journals Details of checkCIF/PLATON tests CIF dictionary Download CIF editor (publCIF) from the IUCr Download CIF editor (enCIFer) from the CCDC



Additional validation for new structures?



Additional information provided to referees?



Structures in the CSD



Bruno et al, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B Struct. Sci. 67, 333–349 (2011)

Revisiting CSD entries

Targeted improvements allow improved integrity, consistency, discoverability and value of data



CCDC

30

Maintaining data integrity in the CSD

- Integrity Completeness, consistency and trustworthiness
- Data completeness trends in reporting of metadata
 - Interactive CSD Deposit checks
 - New filters to select fit for purpose data
- **Consistency** looking at experimental metadata to identify trends in information supplied
- Trustworthiness Establishing automatic identification of potential cases of misconduct – including fraudulent and plagiarised data

Research integrity is much more than misconduct. *Nature,* 2019, 570, 5-5. DOI:10.1038/d41586-019-01727-0



20.00%

0.00%

-radiation type

monochromator

f

CCDC

—source_type
—radiation probe

neasurement device

2017

Underlying issues in the CSD

- Underlying CIFs match published datasets
- Issues can be reported to CCDC data_edits@ccdc.cam.ac.uk
- CCDC will:
 - Investigate issue and either correct CCDC representation or:
 - Contact authors and/or publisher
 - Add a comment to CSD entry
 - If appropriate suggest correction to be published and deposited
 - Accept re-refinements of existing structures and link datasets
 - Re-refinements can be CSD Communications or published structures



Identifying issues in existing structures

- Faults in structures have been corrected by "vigilantes" in their particular area of interest
- But such coverage is inevitably limited
- Can and should the crystallographic community organize a systematic validation and correction effort?
- Can and should the CCDC do more to identify issues?
- When a corrected version of a structure is found how should the CCDC/CSD handle these new models?



Remembering Carl





34



EAMBN010 – DOI: 10.1021/ic50095a031, XEZFIU - DOI: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.03.025 YOTYOW01 - DOI: 10.1080/0889311X.2018.1508209, PECMIT - DOI: 10.1039/DT9930000913

Summary and workshop questions

- Not all structures are perfect, and a variety of approaches need to be taken to identify and resolve issues....
- How can we identify errors more automatically/systematically?
- When systematic errors are found experts may need to look at different approaches to fixing them
 - Re-determinations
 - Re-refinements
 - Generation of CSP/DFT/cleaned structures
 - Extensive annotation
- How are we going to do it at a whole-community level?
- What would be the incentives for individuals to engage?
- How should different versions of structures be stored?

CCDC

Thank You Carl



and... Simon Coles Natalie Johnson Stephen Holgate Clare Tovee Seth Wiggin



Should we remediate small molecule structures? If so, who should do it?

In principle, new reports of small molecule crystal structures should be errorfree since most reputable journals require validation of crystallographic data with CheckCIF and this software is integrated into the CCDC deposition procedure. However, because some chemical journals appear to ignore or not even to use crystallographic referees, errors may not be pointed out. Furthermore, what should happen if authors are unable or unwilling to make corrections when required? Should an otherwise correct structure be rejected because a hydrogen atom has been incorrectly placed or disorder of a terminal methyl group has not been entered into the model? Should such a structure be published or deposited with a warning message, or should a corrected version be created by an external referee? These questions have particular force with regard to already published structures that have errors. An example from the author's early work shows that well-intentioned remediation can sometimes go wrong. Faults in structures have been corrected by "vigilantes" in their particular area of interest, such as space group symmetry [1] and misplaced hydrogen atoms [2,3]; but such coverage is inevitably limited. Can and should the crystallographic community organize a systematic validation and correction effort?

[1] R. E. Marsh (2009), Acta Cryst. B65, 782-783.

[2] I. Bernal & S. F. Watkins (2013), Acta Cryst. C69, 808-810.

[3] C. H. Schwalbe (2016) Abstract 01.11.01.12, 66th ACA Annual Meeting, Denver. Acta Cryst. (2017). A73, a133 Should we remediate and prolecule structures? If so, who should do it? Carl Schwalbe United Kingdom Aston University

Last published XEZFIU – published 12/03/2018 10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.03.025





Latest corrected structure YOTYOW → YOTYOW01 10.1080/0889311X.2018.1508209





Most cited: PECMIT Cited by: 72 DOI: 10.1039/DT9930000913







Scopus; as of 12/08/2019