Singular-value decomposition of Rietveld least-squares matrix

Patrick H.J. Mercier <u>patrick.mercier@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca</u> National Research Council Canada

OUTLINE FOR THE TALK

- 1. Rietveld Method
- 2. Crystallographic least-squares system of normal equations
- 3. Diagnosing problematic Rietveld refinements
- 4. Crystal-chemical Rietveld refinement: A new concept

1. Rietveld Method

Standard Description of a Crystal Structure

- > space group
 symmetry
- > cell parameters
- > atom positions



SPGNAM=	P63	3/m						
CELEDG=		9.3	67000	000	9.36700000	6.88	400000	
CELANG=		90.0	00000	000	90.000000000	120.00	0000000	
ATOM=	1	Ca	4f	3	0.3333	00000	0.666700000	0.001100000
ATOM=	2	Ca	6h	m	0.2416	00000	0.007100000	0.250000000
ATOM=	3	Ρ	6h	m	0.3981	.00000	0.368800000	0.250000000
ATOM=	4	0	6h	m	0.3262	00000	0.484300000	0.250000000
ATOM=	5	0	6h	m	0.5880	00000	0.466800000	0.250000000
ATOM=	6	0	12i	1	0.3416	00000	0.256800000	0.070400000
ATOM=	7	F	2a	-6	0.0000	00000	0.000000000	0.250000000

International Tables for Crystallography...

P 6 ₃ /m	C_{6h}^2	6/ <i>m</i>	Hexagonal	CONTINUED	No.
No. 176	P 6 ₃ /m	Pat	terson symmetry P6/m		
. k			5	Generators selected (1); $t(1,0,0);$ $t(0,1,0);$ $t(0,0,1);$ (2); Positions Multiplicity, Wyeloff lenter, Site symmetry Coordinates (3) $\vec{x} + y, \vec{x}, z$ (4) \vec{x}, y, z (2) $\vec{y}, x - y, z$ (3) $\vec{x} + y, \vec{x}, z$ (4) \vec{x}, y, z (5) $y, \vec{x} + y, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (6) $x - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (6) $x - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (8) $x - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (8) $x - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (8) $x - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (8) $x - y, z, z + \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ (8) $\vec{x} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ (8) $\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ (8) $\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ (8) $\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ (7) $\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ (8) $\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}$ (7	(4); (7)
Origin at centre ($\bar{3}$) on 6 Asymmetric unit $0 \le x$; Vertices $0,0,0$ 0,0,1 Symmetry operations (1) 1 (4) 2(0,0,1) 0,0,2 (7) I 0,0,0 (10) m x,y,t	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & & & & \\ \hline \bigcirc & + & & & & \\ \hline \bigcirc & & & & \\ \hline \bigcirc & & & & \\ \hline \bigcirc & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \leq \dot{f}; & 0 \leq y \leq \dot{f}; & 0 \leq z \leq \dot{d}; \\ & \dot{f}, 0, 0 & & \dot{f}, \dot{f}, 0 & & \dot{f}, \dot{f}, 0 \\ & \dot{f}, 0, \dot{f} & & \dot{f}, \dot{f}, 0 & & \dot{f}, \dot{f}, 0 \\ & \dot{f}, 0, \dot{f} & & \dot{f}, \dot{f}, \dot{f} & & \dot{f}, \dot{f}, \dot{f} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- - - - - - y,x, -
				2 b 3 0,0,0 0,0,3 2 a δ . 0,0,4 0,0,4 Symmetry of special projections Along [001] p 6 a' = a b' = b Origin at 0,0,z Maximal non-isomorphic subgroups I [2]P 6, 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6 [2]P 3 1; 2; 3; 7; 8; 9 [2]P 6 1; 2; 3; 10; 11; 12 [3]P 2 ₁ /m 1; 4; 7; 10 Ha none Maximal isomorphic subgroups of lowest index Hc [3]P 6 ₃ /m (c' = 3c); [3]H 6 ₃ /m (a' = 3a, b' = 3b) (P 6 ₃ /m) Minimal non-isomorphic supergroups I [2]P 6 ₃ /m cm; [2]P 6 ₃ /m m c II [2]P 6 ₃ /m cm; [2]P 6 ₃ /m m c II [2]P 6/m (2c' = c)	C.

4

No. 176

Reflection conditions

Special: as above, plus no extra conditions

General:

000l : l = 2n

hkil : l = 2n

hkil ; l = 2n

hkil : l = 2n

hkil : l = 2n

hkil : l = 2n

or hkil : l = 2n

-

or h-k=3n+1

or h-k=3n+2

or h-k=3n+1h-k = 3n+2

or h - k = 3n+1or h-k=3n+2hkil : l = 2n

Along [210] p 2g m

 $a' = \frac{1}{2}b$ b' = c

Origin at x, 1x,0

 $P 6_3/m$

X-ray powder pattern of a single-phase crystalline material



Integrated intensity formula for powder diffraction implemented in Rietveld programs



Calculation and derivatives of Rietveld profiles



For each step i, we compare the counts Yo(i) with a calculated diffraction profile Yc(i)



P0 is the collection of parameters in all the terms of the expression of Yc(i). For each parameter p in **P0**, we can write:



2. Crystallographic least-squares system of normal equations

Crystallographic Least-squares System of Normal Equations



w(i) $(\partial Yc(i)/\partial \mathbf{p} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{p}) = w(i) [Yo(i) - Yc(i, \mathbf{P0})]$

where the unknowns are the changes Δp to bring to the current model P0

that we symbolize as $A \cdot X = B$, with as many equations as there are measured intensities.

From this, we create the *normal system of equations*, with dimension equal in number to the much smaller number of parameters in the model:



and solve it by e.g. matrix inversion as:

 $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \mathbf{A}]^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \mathbf{b}$

This gives the linear system of equations

where the solution \mathbf{x} the unknowns are the changes $\Delta \mathbf{p}$ to bring to the current model **P0**



We then build the "A_matrix" and the "b_vector" as follows



We next solve this linear "least-squares system of normal equations" by matrix inversion as:

$$\mathbf{x} = \Delta \mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}$$

matrix inversion of the "A_matrix" is the crucial step

The estimated 1σ standard uncertainty (s.u.) errors (or e.s.d.s) for the refined parameters are obtained as: $\sigma(x_k) = \text{sqrt}([\mathbf{A}^T \cdot \mathbf{A}]^{-1}_{kk})$

The correlation coefficients C_{jk} for the refined parameters are obtained as: $C_{jk}(x_k) = [\mathbf{A}^T \cdot \mathbf{A}]^{-1}_{jk} / \text{sqrt} (M^{-1}_{jj} M^{-1}_{kk})$

We repeat iteratively the process until the value of the "sum of squares" $\Sigma_i w(i) [\Delta(i)]^2$ does not vary significantly anymore and the differences between each iteration are smaller than a pre-defined threshold

P.H.J. Mercier

3. Diagnosing problematic Rietveld refinements

Diagnosing problematic Rietveld refinements



Software program freely distributed by the author

Diagnosing problematic refinements

Example 1

Comparing least-squares matrices from GSAS and TOPAS Rietveld refinement

Examples of the output of SVDdiagnostic for problematic cases of Rietveld refinements of P63/m fluorapatite.

(a) Standard crystallographic refinement with TOPAS; R_{wp} = 8.673%, GOF = 1.550.

UNPROCESSED normal matrix

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.785E+13 Error propagation is likely to spoil 13 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14.

Problem is ill-conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of. Use eigenvectors for small eigenvalues below to diagnose quasi singularity.

Eigenvectors for cr11.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

Eigenvector	#:	1	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
zero_error	1:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
divslit	2:	0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.002	0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.002
bkg1040163200	3:	0.000	0.028	-0.018	0.655	0.152	0.475	0.098	0.374	-0.008	0.334	0.079	0.228	-0.031
bkg1040163201	4:	0.000	0.010	-0.008	-0.032	0,063	0.054	0.342	0.163	0.483	-0,150	0.618	-0.459	-0.007
bkg1040163202	5:	0.000	-0.014	0.019	-0.555	-0.167	-0.075	0.093	0.328	0.123	0.526	0.223	0.448	0.021
bkg1040163203	6:	0.000	-0.012	0.033	0.034	-0.360	0.026	-0.634	0.235	-0.320	0.187	0.313	-0.417	-0.020
bkg1040163204	7:	0.000	0.001	0.023	0.401	-0.027	-0.487	-0.290	-0.355	0.275	0.053	0.405	0.385	0.016
bkg1040163205	8:	0.000	0.006	0.000	-0.002	0.598	-0.337	0.151	-0.148	-0.323	0.523	0.067	-0.317	-0.008
bkg1040163206	9:	0.000	0.004	-0.007	-0.276	0.391	0.482	-0.201	-0.289	-0.285	-0.235	0.455	0.266	0.000
bkg1040163207	10:	0.000	-0.008	0.008	-0.003	-0.372	0.391	0.135	-0.662	0.150	0.446	-0.032	-0.170	-0.003
bkg1040163208	11:	0.000	-0.003	0.008	0.148	-0.404	-0.163	0.544	-0.042	-0.604	-0.151	0.298	0.119	0.001
p1SCALE	12:	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
CrystalliteSi	z13:	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.026	-0,006	-0.024	0.003	-0.014	0.012	-0.007	-0.002	0.023	-0.999
alat	14:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
clat	15:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Alz	16:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
begA1	17:	0.000	-0.088	0.011	0.007	0.007	0.011	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.005	0.000	-0.001
A2x	18:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Л2У	19:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
begA2	20:	0.000	-0.061	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.013	0.003	0.006	-0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000
beqB	21:	0.000	0.061	0.008	0.017	0.007	0.007	0.017	0.007	0.011	0.001	0.001	0.000	-0.001
Bx	22:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Ву	23:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
beq0	24:	0.000	-0.992	0.016	0.024	0.021	0.011	0.010	0.008	0.004	-0.003	0.002	0.001	-0.001
01x	25:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
01y	26:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
02x	27:	0.000	-0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
02Y	28:	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
03x	29:	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
03у	30:	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
03 z	31:	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
beqF	32:	0.000	0.018	0.999	0.008	0.027	0.022	0.024	0.005	0.007	-0.016	-0.017	0.000	-0.001

Eigenvalues : 1.78E+12.. 1.97E+03 2.68E+02 4.16E+01 3.56E+01 3.01E+01 2.30E+01 2.08E+01 1.44E+01 6.69E+00 5.11E+00 1.15E+00 2.26E-01

Table 2

Examples of ill-conditioned Rietveld refinements.

All background parameters: fixed. Profile parameters: divslit fixed, CrystalliteSize refined. (a) Standard crystallographic refinement with TOPAS; $R_{wp} = 8.696$ %, GOF = 1.553.

UNPROCESSED normal matrix

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.342E+13 Error propagation is likely to spoil 13 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14.

Problem is ill-conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of. Use eigenvectors for small eigenvalues below to diagnose quasi singularity.

Eigenvectors for cr08.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

Eigenvector	#:	1	2	3	4	5	17	18	19	20	21	22
zero error	1.	0.000	0.159	-0.015	0.004	-0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
p1SCALE	2:	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
CrystalliteSize	3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	-1.000
alat	4 :	0.000	=0.834	-0.538	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
clat	5:	0.000	-0.529	0.843	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Alz	6:	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.008	-0.075	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
begA1	7:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.313	0.745	-0.582	0.091	-0.012	0.000
A2x	8:	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.583	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
A2v	9:	0.000	0.003	-0.001	-0.808	-0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
begA2	10:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.927	-0.369	0.035	0.061	-0.005	0.000
begB	11:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	-0.195	0.555	0.806	-0.063	-0.009	0.000
Bx	12:	0.000	0.001	0.004	-0.022	0.693	0.000	0,001	-0,001	0.000	0.000	0.000
Bv	13:	0.000	-0.001	0.005	0.030	-0.701	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
beg0	14:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.073	-0.010	0.102	0.992	-0.018	0.000
01x	15:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	-0.002	0.000	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
01y	16:	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.012	0.049	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
02x	17:	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.020	0.016	-0.001	0.001	-0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000
02y	18:	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.070	-0.071	-0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000
03x	19:	0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.007	0.068	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000
03y	20:	0.000	0.001	-0.001	-0.017	-0.085	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000
03z	21:	0.001	0.000	0.001	-0.005	0.045	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
beqF	22:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	-0.011	-0.002	-0.019	-1.000	-0.001
-												
Eigenvalues	:	1.80E+12	7.04E+09	2.62E+09	3.69E+08	2.17E+08	9.59E+03	5.13E+03	3.58E+03	2.03E+03	2.73E+02	5.27E-01

Table 3

Final refinements obtained after diagnostic by SVD.

All background and profile parameters needed to be fixed.

(a) Standard crystallographic refinement with TOPAS; $R_{wp} = 8.695$ %, GOF = 1.553.

UNPROCESSED normal matrix

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.659E+10 Error propagation is likely to spoil 10 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14.

Problem poorly conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of. Use eigenvectors for small eigenvalues below to diagnose quasi singularity.

Eigenvectors for cr10.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

Eigenvector	#1	1	2	3	4	5	б	17	18	19	20	21
		0 000	0.150	0.015	0.004	-0.010	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 000
zero_error	1:	0.000	0.159	-0.015	0.004	-0.010	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
PISCALE	21	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
alat	3;	0.000	-0.834	-0.538	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
clat	4:	0.000	-0.529	0.843	-0.001	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Alz	5:	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.008	-0.075	-0.009	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000
begAl	61	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	-0.313	0.745	-0.582	-0.091	-0.012
A2x	7;	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.583	-0.001	0.663	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000
A2y	в:	0.000	0.003	-0.001	-0.808	-0.036	0.467	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
begA2	91	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	-0.927	-0.369	0.035	-0.061	-0.005
begB	10:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.195	0.556	0.806	0.064	-0.009
Бх	11:	0.000	0.001	0.004	-0.022	0.693	-0.180	0.000	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000
Ву	12:	0.000	-0.001	0.005	0.030	-0.701	-0.282	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
beq0	13:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.073	-0.010	0.103	-0.992	-0.018
01x	14:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	-0.002	0.057	0.000	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000
01y	15:	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.012	0.049	-0.074	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
02x	16:	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.020	0.016	0.018	-0.001	0.001	-0.002	-0.002	0.000
02y	17:	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.070	-0.071	0.019	-0.001	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	0.000
03x	18:	0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.007	0.068	-0.342	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
03y	19:	0.000	0.001	-0.001	-0.017	-0.085	0.321	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.000
03z	20:	0.001	0.000	0.001	-0.005	0.045	-0.024	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
beqF	21:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	-0.011	-0.002	0.019	-1.000
Eigenvalues	:	1.80E+12	7.04E+09	2.62E+09	3.69E+08	2.17E+08	9.32E+07	9.59E+03	5.13E+03	3.58E+03	2.03E+03	2.73E+02

Diagnosing problematic refinements

Example 2

Rietveld refinement of a battery material

Monoclinic C2/m Li₂MnO₃-type structure

• Just like sharing out a cake – make sure everyone can get a piece!





How to construct the constraints?

- The technique is the same as can be used in GSAS
 - divide each atom occupancy on one site over a number of 'atoms'
 - share each portion with one other site, e.g. for Mn



- add a scaling factor to change overall Li:TM ratio whilst keeping Mn:Ni:Co ratio constant
- still makes for quite a lot of additional variables....

Anisotropic broadening

- Anisotropic broadening can be caused by a variety of reasons
 - Disorder (turbostratic, stacking faults, microstrain)
 - Short range order
 - Crystallite shape
- $Li_{1.2}Mn_{0.4}Ni_{0.3}Co_{0.1}O_2$ has a short range $\sqrt{3a} \times \sqrt{3a}$ ordering with some full pattern anisotropy
- Full pattern: spherical harmonic Lorentzian convolution
- $\sqrt{3a} \times \sqrt{3a}$: individual hkls broadened, e.g. lor_fwhm = If(And(H == 0,K == 2,L == 0), a4, 0);
- For structure refinement what causes the broadening and how you model it isn't important – whatever works to correctly evaluate integrated peak areas of the measured intensities!

Fit for the 0.65 Å dataset

• Lack of anisotropic broadening correction affects the distribution of the metals on the 4g and 2b sites



Matrix Conditioning - the starting point

UNPROCESSED normal matrix

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = $0.142E+26^{4}$

Error propagation is likely to spoil ALL digits in some elements of the normal matrix. System is singular for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of. A bit more than 14! Use eigenvectors below to diagnose singularity.

Eigenvectors for combined.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

Eigenvect	tor #: 1	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159
k	1: -0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mn2	2: 0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
 p3	19: -0.003	-0.001	-0.019	-0.001	0.011	-0.039	-0.001	0.000	0.000
p4	20: 0.000	-0.003	-0.022	-0.001	0.012	-0.045	-0.001	0.000	0.000
bkg9920	21: -0.009	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.004	-0.668	0.000
bkg9921	22: -0.003	0.000	0.000	0.004	-0.001	0.000	-0.003	0.401	0.000
bkg9922	23: 0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.002	-0.269	0.000
bkg9923	24: 0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.139	0.000
bkg9924	25: -0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	-0.077	0.000
bkg9925	26: 0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.044	0.000

Eigenvalues: 0.270E+14 .. 0.912E-05 0.350E-05 0.368E-07 0.875E-09 0.777E-09 0.122E-10 0.877E-11 0.190E-11

PRECONDITIONED normal matrix

.....

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.383E+18

Still needs more than doubleprecision arithmetic

Here the preconditioning doesn't ensure numerical repeatability in inverting the LS matrix for this model and dataset

• There are a lot of variables in this refinement

 SVDdiagnostic identified a number of variables that were causing problems, including the background and parameters related to the anisotropic broadening

- After dealing with the problematic variables both the unprocessed and preconditioned matrices have satisfactory conditioning numbers
- UNPROCESSED normal matrix
- Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.110E+11
- Error propagation is likely to spoil 10 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14.
- Problem is ill-conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of.
- Use eigenvectors for small eigenvalues below to diagnose quasi singularity.
- Eigenvectors for combined_k.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

•	Eigenv	ector #	: 1	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66
•	k	1:	-0.001	-0.008	0.005	-0.002	0.001	-0.003	0.003	0.006	-0.004
•	mn2	2:	0.000	0.002	-0.032	-0.045	0.000	0.010	0.008	-0.003	-0.010
•	co2	3:	0.000	0.029	0.023	0.035	-0.004	-0.020	0.006	-0.001	-0.007
•	ni2	4:	0.000	-0.035	0.009	0.004	0.004	0.009	-0.008	0.010	0.013
•											

- Eigenvalues: 0.271E+14.. 0.485E+05 0.470E+05 0.351E+05 0.277E+05 0.241E+05 0.762E+04 0.521E+04 0.246E+04
- PRECONDITIONED normal matrix
- Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.883E+04
- Error propagation is likely to spoil 4 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14.
- Problem well conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion.
- Eigenvalues: 0.830E+01 .. 0.251E-01 0.201E-01 0.195E-01 0.107E-01 0.625E-02 0.565E-02 0.326E-02 0.940E-03

Final refined structure

Space group: C2/m (12) Overall residuals: $R_{wp} = 9.61\%$, $R_p = 7.0\%$, Durban-Watson = 1.727, a = 4.98268(23), b = 8.56248(80), c = 5.01340(36) Å, b = 109.2479(95)^{\circ} Refined stoichiometry = $Li_{1.165(36)}Mn_{0.402(13)}Ni_{0.323(16)}Co_{0.109(32)}O_2$

	Site	Atom	X	У	Z	Occ	Occ	Biso
The final							(random)	
refinement	4g – M1	Mn	0	0.16597(14)	0	0.5467(105)	0.4793	0.83(2)
yielded		Ni				0.3345(104)	0.3856	
values with		Со				0.1152(159)	0.1305	
ESDs		Li				0.0036(217)	0.0036	
The refined	2b – M2	Mn	0	0.5	0	0.1111(93)	0.2152	0.10(6)
Inerenneu		Ni				0.2392(94)	0.1731	
bond lengths		Со				0.0971(137)	0.0586	
closely with		Li				0.5526(191)	0.5526	
those	2c – Li1	Li	0	0	0.5	0.9966(274)	0.9966	0.96(33)
expected		Mn				0.0005(133)	0.0016	
trom bond valence		Ni				0.0022(129)	0.0013	
parameters		Со				0.0008(202)	0.0004	
(low spin Co	4h – Li2	Li	0	0.65505(384)	0.5	0.9704(139)	0.9704	0.19(17)
and Ni values		Mn				0.0000(67)	0.0142	
determined		Ni				0.0296(66)	0.0115	
from ICSD		Co				0.0000(102)	0.0039	
data)	4i – O1	0	0.21918(57)	0	0.22220(64)	1	1	0.23(5)
	8j – O2	0	0.24292(53)	0.32251(20)	0.22823(39)	1	1	1.00(4)

4. Crystal-chemicalRietveld refinement:A new concept



Ca II

Teeth and bones made of apatite Ca₅(PO₄)₃(OH,F,CI) PO_{A} Ca I

$A_{4}^{I}A_{6}^{II}(BO_{4})_{6}X_{2}$

A : larger divalent (Ca²⁺, Sr²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cd²⁺, Zn²⁺, Ba²⁺, etc.), monovalent (Cs^+ , Na⁺, Li⁺, etc.), and trivalent (La³⁺, Y³⁺, Ce³⁺, Nd³⁺, Sm³⁺, Dy³⁺, etc.) cations

Crystal Chemistry of Apatite

- *B* : smaller 3+, 4+, 5+, 6+, and 7+ metals and metalloids (P⁵⁺, As⁵⁺, V⁵⁺, Si⁴⁺, S⁶⁺, etc.)
- X: halides (F^-, CI^-, Br^-, I^-) , hydroxyl $(OH)^-$, or oxygen ions O²⁻

Space groups: $P6_3/m$, $P6_3$, $P2_1/m$, $P112_1/b$, etc.



Apatite As a Microporous Structure





 ρ_{AII}



a_AI-01

 $\dot{\delta}_{AI}$

τ(O1-B-O2) [x1] = τ(O1-B-O3) [x2] = τ_{O-B-O}

 d_{B-O} d_{B-O} d_{B-O} d_{B-O} d_{B-O} d_{B-O} d_{B-O} d_{B-O}

AI

τ(O3-B-O3) [x1] = τ(O2-B-O3) [x2] = τ'_{O-B-O} 31

Four geometric constraints

 $a = 3^{1/2} \{ d_{AI-O1}^2 - (1/4) \cdot [d_{B-O} \sin(\tau'_{O-B-O}/2) + d_{AII-O3} \sin(\phi_{O3-AII-O3}/2)]^2 \}^{1/2} \cdot \cos[(\pi/6) - \delta_{AI} - \alpha_{AI}] + 3^{1/2} \cdot \{ (d_{AI-O1} + \Delta_{AI-O})^2 - (1/4) \cdot [d_{B-O} \sin(\tau'_{O-B-O}/2) + d_{AII-O3} \sin(\phi_{O3-AII-O3}/2)]^2 \}^{1/2} \cdot \cos[(\pi/6) - \delta_{AI} + \alpha_{AI}] + 2 (3^{1/2}) d_{B-O} \sin(\tau_{O-B-O}/2) \cos(\theta)$

where:

 $\sin(\theta) = \{ \{ d_{AI-O1}^2 - (1/4) \cdot [d_{B-O} \sin(\tau'_{O-B-O}/2) + d_{AII-O3} \sin(\phi_{O3-AII-O3}/2)]^2 \}^{1/2} \cdot \sin[(\pi/6) - \delta_{AI} - \alpha_{AI}] - \{ (d_{AI-O1} + \Delta_{AI-O})^2 - (1/4) \cdot [d_{B-O} \sin(\tau'_{O-B-O}/2) + d_{AII-O3} \sin(\phi_{O3-AII-O3}/2)]^2 \}^{1/2} \cdot \sin[(\pi/6) - \delta_{AI} + \alpha_{AI}] \} - \{ (2 d_{B-O} \sin(\tau_{O-B-O}/2) + d_{AII-O3} \sin(\phi_{O3-AII-O3}/2)]^2 \}^{1/2} \cdot \sin[(\pi/6) - \delta_{AI} + \alpha_{AI}] \}$

 $c = 2 \cdot [d_{B-O} \sin(\tau'_{O-B-O}/2) + d_{AII-O3} \sin(\phi_{O3-AII-O3}/2)]$

$$\cos(\psi_{AI-O1}) = [d_{B-O} \sin(\tau'_{O-B-O} / 2) + d_{AII-O3} \sin(\phi_{O3-AII-O3} / 2)] / [2 \cdot d_{AI-O1}]$$

 $z(A^{I}) = 0$ (*i.e.*, cation-centered $A^{I}O6$ polyhedra)



Numerical equivalence to within 14-digit double-precision accuracy



results for 18 end-member chemical compositions

Rietveld refn't of powder data





Acta Cryst. B 61: 635-655





J. Appl. Cryst. 39: 369-375

J. Appl. Cryst. 39: 458-465

Creation of a *TOPAS* script for crystal-chemical refinement

Performed both types of refinement on a top-quality XRD powder pattern:

- -- numerical stability
- -- addition of random noise to experimental data



Crystal-chemical refinement with TOPAS; $R_{wp} = 9.231$, GOF = 1.650

UNPROCESSED normal matrix

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.790E+13 Error propagation is likely to spoil 13 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14. **CN =0.790E+13**

Problem is ill-conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of. Use eigenvectors for small eigenvalues below to diagnose quasi singularity.

Eigenvectors for chl3.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

argenveccor :	#:	1	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
dA101	1:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
DeltaA10	2:	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
deltaA1	3:	0.000	0.027	-0.143	0.000	0.003	-0.006	0.005	0.008	-0.003	-0.004	-0.001	0.000	0.000
alphaA1	4:	0.000	0.009	-0.089	0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.004	0.003	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
dBO	5:	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
tauOBO	6:	0.000	-0.005	0.276	-0.001	-0.007	0.006	-0.017	-0.009	0.001	0.003	-0.001	0.001	0.000
rhoA2	7:	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
alphaA2	8:	0.000	-0.007	-0.012	-0.002	-0.008	-0.001	-0.001	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000
dA203	9:	0.000	0.000	-0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
phi03A203	10:	0.000	-0.018	0.945	-0,012	0.001	0.005	-0.022	-0.017	0.009	0.000	-0.003	0.001	-0.002
zero_error	11:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
divslit	12:	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.002	0.000	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.002
bkg805787520	13:	0.000	-0,017	0.002	0.650	0.170	-0.488	-0.123	-0.344	-0.011	-0.339	0.082	0.228	-0.032
bkg805787521	14:	0.000	-0.006	-0.014	-0.033	0.063	-0.058	-0.347	-0.143	0.481	0.151	0.619	-0.460	-0.006
bkg805787522	15:	0.000	0.020	-0.016	-0.544	-0.186	0.076	-0.108	-0.328	0.125	-0.526	0.225	0.448	0.020
bkg805787523	16:	0.000	0.030	0.017	0.059	-0.363	-0.018	0.617	-0.265	-0.321	-0.194	0.311	-0.417	-0.019
bkg805787524	17:	0.000	0.020	0.015	0.401	0.000	0.495	0.305	0.335	0.276	-0.049	0.401	0.385	0.017
bkg805787525	18:	0.000	0.003	0.002	-0.032	0.607	0.328	-0.140	0.155	-0.319	-0.522	0.064	-0.317	-0.009
bkg805787526	19:	0.000	-0.006	0.015	-0.297	0.367	-0.481	0.226	0.281	-0.286	0.237	0.455	0.265	0.000
bkg805787527	20:	0.000	0.006	0.013	-0.002	-0.377	-0.371	-0.095	0.679	0.158	-0.442	-0.034	-0.169	-0.003
bkg805787528	21:	0.000	0.007	-0.006	0.159	-0.392	0.171	-0.545	0.080	-0.603	0.146	0.298	0.117	0.001
piscale	22:	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
plCS	23:	0.000	-0,001	-0.002	-0.025	-0.007	0.024	-0.002	0.013	0.013	0.008	-0.002	0.022	-0.999
begAl	24:	0.000	0.014	-0.015	0.009	0.006	-0.011	-0.005	-0.007	0.008	-0.002	0.005	-0.001	-0.001
begA2	25:	0.000	0.003	0.002	0.007	0.006	-0.009	-0.013	-0.002	0.006	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000
beqO	26:	0.000	0.006	-0.004	0.022	0.020	-0.013	-0.009	-0.005	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.001	-0.001
beqB	27:	0.000	0.004	0.010	0.016	0.008	-0.007	-0.017	-0.004	0.011	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.001
pedx	28:	0.000	0,998	0.022	0.009	0.023	-0.022	-0.021	-0.003	0.008	0.017	-0.016	0.000	-0.002
Bigenvalues	:	1.84E+12	2.86E+02	1.26E+02	4.22E+01	3.58 E +01	3.02E+01	2.33E+01	2.12E+01	1.45E+01	6.76E+00	5.15E+00	1.16E+00	2.33B-01

Standard crystallographic refinement with TOPAS; $R_{wp} = 8.673$, GOF = 1.550

UNPROCESSED normal matrix

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.785E+13

Error propagation is likely to spoil 13 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14.

CN =0.785E+13

Problem is ill-conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of. Use eigenvectors for small eigenvalues below to diagnose quasi singularity.

Eigenvectors for cr11.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

Eigenvector	#:	1	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
zero_error	1:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
divslit	2:	0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.002	0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.002
bkg1040163200	3:	0.000	0.028	-0.018	0.655	0.152	0.475	0.098	0.374	-0.008	0.334	0.079	0.228	-0.031
bkg1040163201	4:	0.000	0.010	-0.008	-0.032	0,063	0.054	0.342	0.163	0.483	-0,150	0.618	-0.459	-0.007
bkg1040163202	5:	0.000	-0.014	0.019	-0.555	-0.167	-0.075	0.093	0.328	0.123	0.526	0.223	0.448	0.021
bkg1040163203	6:	0.000	-0.012	0.033	0.034	-0.360	0.026	-0.634	0.235	-0.320	0.187	0.313	-0.417	-0.020
bkg1040163204	7:	0.000	0.001	0.023	0.401	-0.027	-0.487	-0.290	-0.355	0.275	0.053	0.405	0.385	0.016
bkg1040163205	8:	0.000	0.006	0.000	-0.002	0.598	-0.337	0.151	-0.148	-0.323	0.523	0.067	-0.317	-0.008
bkg1040163206	9:	0.000	0.004	-0.007	-0.276	0.391	0.482	-0.201	-0.289	-0.285	-0.235	0.455	0.266	0.000
bkg1040163207	10:	0.000	-0.008	0.008	-0.003	-0.372	0.391	0.135	-0.662	0.150	0.446	-0.032	-0.170	-0.003
bkg1040163208	11:	0.000	-0.003	0.008	0.148	-0.404	-0.163	0.544	-0.042	-0.604	-0.151	0.298	0.119	0.001
p1SCALE	12:	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
CrystalliteSi	z13:	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.026	-0,006	-0.024	0.003	-0.014	0.012	-0.007	-0.002	0.023	-0.999
alat	14:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
clat	15:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Alz	16:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
begA1	17:	0.000	-0.088	0.011	0.007	0.007	0.011	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.005	0.000	-0.001
A2x	18:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
лгу	19:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
begA2	20:	0.000	-0.061	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.013	0.003	0.006	-0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000
beqB	21:	0.000	0.061	0.008	0.017	0.007	0.007	0.017	0.007	0.011	0.001	0.001	0.000	-0.001
Bx	22:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Ву	23:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
beg0	24:	0.000	-0.992	0.016	0.024	0.021	0.011	0.010	0.008	0.004	-0.003	0.002	0.001	-0.001
01x	25:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
01y	26:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
02x	27:	0.000	-0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
02y	28:	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
03x	29:	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
03у	30:	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
03 z	31:	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
begF	32:	0.000	0.018	0.999	0.008	0.027	0.022	0.024	0.005	0.007	-0.016	-0.017	0.000	-0.001

39

UNPROCESSED normal matrix

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.659E+10 Error propagation is likely to spoil 10 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14. CN =0.659E+10

Problem poorly conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of. Use eigenvectors for small eigenvalues below to diagnose quasi singularity.

Bigenvectors for cr10.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

Eigenvector	#:	1	2	3	4	5	б	17	18	19	20	21
zaro arror	1.	0 000	0 159	-0.015	0 004	-0.010	0 007	0.000	0 000	0.000	0.000	0 000
zero_error	1.	1.000	0.155	-0.015	0.004	-0.010	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
PISCALE	2:	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
alat	3:	0.000	-0.834	-0.538	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
clat	4:	0.000	-0.529	0.843	-0.001	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Alz	5:	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.008	-0.075	-0.009	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000
beqA1	6:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	-0.313	0.745	-0.582	-0.091	-0.012
A2x	7:	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.583	-0.001	0.663	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000
A2y	8:	0.000	0.003	-0.001	-0.808	-0.036	0.467	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
beqA2	9:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	-0.927	-0.369	0.035	-0.061	-0.005
beqB	10:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.195	0.556	0.806	0.064	-0.009
Bx	11:	0.000	0.001	0.004	-0.022	0.693	-0.180	0.000	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000
Ву	12:	0.000	-0.001	0.005	0.030	-0.701	-0.282	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
beq0	13:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.073	-0.010	0.103	-0.992	-0.018
01x	14:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	-0.002	0.057	0.000	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000
оју	15:	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.012	0.049	-0.074	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
02x	16:	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.020	0.016	0.018	-0.001	0.001	-0.002	-0.002	0.000
02y	17:	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.070	-0.071	0.019	-0.001	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	0.000
03x	18:	0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.007	0.068	-0.342	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
03у	19:	0.000	0.001	-0.001	-0.017	-0.085	0.321	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.000
03z	20:	0.001	0.000	0.001	-0.005	0.045	-0.024	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
beqF	21:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	-0.011	-0.002	0.019	-1.000
Eigenvalues		1.80E+12	7.04E+09	2.62E+09	3.69E+08	2.17E+08	9.32E+07	9.59E+03	5.13E+03	3.58E+03	2.03E+03	2.73E+02

Crystal-chemical refinement with TOPAS; $R_{wp} = 9.231, GOF = 1.648$

UNPROCESSED normal matrix

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.221E+11 Error propagation is likely to spoil 10 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14.

CN =0.221E+11

Problem is ill-conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of. Use eigenvectors for small eigenvalues below to diagnose quasi singularity.

Eigenvectors for ch12.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

Eigenvector	#:	1	2	3	4	5	б	13	14	15	16	17
dA101	1:	0.000	0.718	-0.213	0.009	-0.610	0.255	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
DeltaA10	2:	0.000	0.433	-0.129	0.014	0.221	-0.840	0.002	0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.002
deltaA1	3:	0.000	0.003	-0.001	0.000	0.008	-0.005	-0.161	-0.074	0.500	0.028	0.086
alphaA1	4 :	0.000	0.016	-0.005	0.001	0.024	0.004	0.007	0.017	0.195	0.000	0.051
dBO	5:	0.000	0.537	0.521	0.037	0.557	0.295	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.001
tauOBO	6:	0.000	0.006	-0.009	0.000	0.016	0.001	-0.051	0.003	-0.811	-0.020	-0.185
rhoA2	7:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.194	-0.279	-0.001	-0.003	-0.003	0.001	-0.004
alphaA2	8:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.814	0.472	0.046	-0.006	0.010
dA203	9:	0.000	-0.088	0.816	0.018	-0.480	-0.255	-0.001	0.001	-0.009	0.000	0.007
phi03A203	10:	0.000	-0.001	0.006	0.000	-0.004	-0.003	0.008	-0.003	0.211	-0.017	-0.977
zero_error	11:	0.000	-0.031	-0.030	0.999	-0.010	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
piscale	12:	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
beqA1	13:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.021	-0.075	0.066	0.016	0.015
beqA2	14:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.150	-0.003	-0.008	0.005	-0.002
beq0	15:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	0.451	-0.875	-0.023	0.009	0.001
beqB	16:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.003	-0.287	-0.001	-0.032	0.002	-0.013
beqX	17:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.004	0.014	-0.027	0.999	-0.023
Eigenvalues	:	1.87E+12	1.57 E+1 1	1.95E+10	6.59E+07	8.98E+06	3.09E+06	2.66E+03	2.12E+03	5.97E+02	2.91E+02	8.47E+01

Numerical stability of Rietveld refinements

J. Appl. Cryst. 39: 458-465

Practical use of SVD for apatites In both cases, regular crystalprofile-shape crystallographic chemical and background refinement refinement parameters needed to be similar condition number fixed at some arbitrary values similar numerical stability cure of Rietveld LS model *SVDdiagnostic* Software program freely distributed by the author

J. Appl. Cryst. 39: 369-375 Experimental proof of the greater precision and accuracy of crystal-chemical refinement

CORROBORATION

Observed a precision increase by nearly an order of magnitude in the least-squares E.S.D.s.



Observed a precision increase by nearly an order of magnitude in the least-squares E.S.D.s.	C-CH Ca1:0 01:4 01:5 01:5 02:5 02:5 02:5 02:5	:4 2.39802 (42) :0 2.39802 (42) 74.151 (16) :3 2.39802 (42) 74.151 (16) :1 2.44645 (63) 154.7555 (48) 123.939 (10) 93.026 (18) :2 2.44645 (63) 75.948 (23) 93.026 (18) 154.7555 (48) 123.934 (10) :5 2.44645 (63) 75.948 (23) 75.948 (23) 123.939 (10) 93.026 (18) :5 2.44645 (63) 75.948 (23) 75.948 (23) 123.939 (10) 93.026 (18) 154.7555 (48)
C-CH Ca2:0 O3:3 2.33557 (57) 102.584 O3:2 2.33557 (57) 141.067 (65) 102.584 O2:4 2.3874 (13) 85.67 (47) 85.670 (47) O3:7 2.5069 (13) 74.889 (36) 77.442 (20) O3:6 2.5069 (13) 59.349 (37) 74.889 (36) O1:0 2.6868 (13) 149.635 (21) 149.635 (21)	Ca1:0 O1:4 O1:0 O1:1 O2:1 O2:1 O2:1 O2:1 O2:1 I52.267 I35.735 (52 I35.735 (52 I35.735 (52 I00.708 (56	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
CRYS Ca2:0 O3:2 2.3314 (20) O3:3 2.3314 (20) 140.70 (13) O2:4 2.3442 (29) 85.758 (61) 85.758 (61) O3:7 2.5115 (25) 74.145 (81) 135.87 (11) O3:6 2.5115 (25) 59.568 (93) 74.145 (81) O1:0 2.6691 (25) 149.313 (55) 149.313 (55)	77.419 (53 77.419 (53 100.595 (94	<i>J. Appl. Cryst.</i> (2005) 39: 369-375 3) 135.87 (11) 4) 72.013 (74) 72.013 (74)
C-CH P:0 O1:0 1.53407 (50 O3:1 1.53407 (37 O3:0 1.53407 (37 O2:0 1.53407 (50 Ca2:4 3.0708 (14 O2:3 3.16969 (95)) 110.9056 (4) 108.0000 (1) 107.9996 (3) 114.887 (3) 44.951 (2	44) 16) 110.9056 (44) 39) 107.9996 (39) 110.9056 (14) 33) 54.2946 (69) 54.2946 (69) 134.21 (33) 28) 69.936 (34) 68.676 (14) 68.676 (14) 179.159 (34)
CRYS P:0 O3:1 1.5364 (20 O3:0 1.5364 (20 O1:0 1.5792 (39 O2:0 1.5807 (29 Ca2:4 3.0673 (11 O2:3 3.115 (32)) 108.58 (1') 110.27 (1') 110.62 (1') 116.03 (1') 44.558 (7	17) 12) 110.27 (12) 16) 108.52 (11) 108.52 (11) 14) 133.350 (86) 54.590 (86) 54.590 (86) 44 71) 71.47 (20) 177.908 (98) 68.69 (12) 68.69 (12)

UNPROCESSED	normal	matri×
-------------	--------	--------

Condition number for matrix of normal equations = 0.279E+11 Error propagation is likely to spoil 10 trailing decimal digits out of probably 14.

Eigenvalues

Problem is ill-conditioned for double-precision matrix inversion unless error propagation is well taken care of. Use eigenvectors for small eigenvalues below to diagnose quasi singularity.

Eigenvectors for final_fit.out ranked according to eigenvalues are printed as columns below

Eigenvector #	:	l	2	3 ••	13	14	15	16	17	18	eco-apatites
dA101 DeltaA10	1:	0.000	0.715 0.435	-0.208	-0.025 0.017	0.004	-0.006 0.005	0.005	0.001	0.000	
deltaAl	3:	0.000	0.003	-0.001	-0.267	-0.281	-0.022	0.498	0.028	-0.012	
alphaAl deo tau060	4: 5: 6:	0.000 0.000	0.016 0.537 0.007	-0.005 0.523 -0.009	0.803 -0.002 -0.014	-0.422 0.011 -0.152	0.332 -0.006 0.058	0.139 -0.005 -0.848	-0.017 0.001	-0.002 0.000	bond-angle variables
nnoA2 alphaA2	/: 8:	0.000	0.000	-0.001 0.000	0.031	0.002 0.739 -0.011	0.005	-0.020 0.058 -0.004	0.002 0.001 -0.001	0.001 0.002	
phi03A203	10:	0.000	-0.001	0.006	-0.002	-0.004	-0.002	0.018	0.012	1.000	
zero_error plSCALE plMS	11: 12: 13:	0.000 1.000 -0.001	-0.038 0.000 0.000	-0.036	0.000 0.000 -0.001 -0.025	0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	φ _{03-AII-03} and τ _{0-B-0}
beqA2 beq0 beqB	14: 15: 16: 17:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.052 0.409 -0.137	0.127 0.016 -0.340	-0.030 -0.865 0.142	-0.014 -0.013 -0.039	0.008 0.004 0.011	-0.001 -0.002 -0.002	are poorly determined
beqX	18:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.005	0.007	0.001	0.999	-0.012	

Eigenvalues : 0.871E+12 0.448E+11 0.609E+10 · · 0.342E+04 0.306E+04 0.218E+04 0.560E+03 0.275E+03 0.312E+02

	UNPROCESSED normal	matri×						Acto	Cryst	R 63.	37_/8
	Condition number fo Error propagation i	r matrix s likely	of normal to spoil	equations 10 trailin	= 0.319E g decimal	+10 digits out	of probably 1	.4.	<i>Cryst</i> .	D 0 J .	57-40
stability of	Problem poorly cond Use eigenvectors fo	itioned f r small e	for double eigenvalue	-precision s below to	matrix in diagnose	version un quasi sing	less error pro ularity.	pagation i	s well tak	(en care o	f.
crystal-	Eigenvectors for fi	nal_fit.«	out ranked	according	to eigenv	alues are	printed as col	umns below			
chemical	Eigenvector #	:	1	2	3	4	5	13	14	15	16
least- squares extraction increased by by fixing those variables	dA101 DeltaA10 deltaA1 alphaA1 dB0 rhoA2 alphaA2 dA203 zero_error plSCALE plMS beqA1 beqA2 beqB beqX	1: 2: 3: 4: 5: 7: 8: 9: 10: 12: 13: 14: 14: 16:	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 1.000\\ 1.000\\ -0.001\\ 0.000\\ 0$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.716\\ 0.434\\ 0.003\\ 0.536\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ -0.096\\ -0.038\\ 0.000\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} -0.208\\ -0.126\\ -0.001\\ -0.005\\ 0.524\\ -0.001\\ 0.000\\ 0.816\\ -0.036\\ 0.000\\ 0.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.023\\ 0.003\\ 0.001\\ 0.001\\ 0.042\\ -0.002\\ 0.000\\ 0.024\\ 0.999\\ 0.000\\ 0.010\\ 0.010\\ 0.000\\ 0$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.026 \\ -0.018 \\ 0.383 \\ -0.819 \\ 0.002 \\ -0.030 \\ -0.142 \\ -0.001 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.197 \\ -0.034 \\ -0.345 \\ -0.025 \\ -0.023 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001\\ 0.001\\ 0.642\\ 0.379\\ -0.014\\ -0.012\\ -0.619\\ 0.012\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ -0.097\\ -0.111\\ 0.011\\ 0.196\\ -0.016\end{array}$	0.004 -0.063 -0.314 0.007 0.000 -0.310 -0.006 0.000 0.000 0.118 0.031 0.876 -0.135 -0.004	0.000 0.002 -0.034 0.015 -0.001 -0.002 -0.002 0.001 0.000 0.000 -0.001 -0.008 -0.004 -0.010 -0.999 45

: 0.871E+12 0.448E+11 0.611E+10 0.241E+08 0.546E+07 .. 0.348E+04 0.292E+04 0.221E+04 0.273E+03

Application of SVDdiagnostic to $Ca_{10}(V_xP_{1-x}O_4)_6F_2$ eco-apatites

Practical use of SVD for diagnosing Rietveld refinement









Discovery of triclinic apatites structure type



Tetrahedra	Along a (°)	Along b (°)	Along [110] (°)
			_
As-AP	12	11	5
V-AP	8.5	9.5	3
Oxy-HAP ^(a)	14	6	1
$La_{10-x}(GeO_4)_6O_{3-1.5x}^{(b)}$	18.5	13.5	3

References: (a) Alberius-Henning et al., 2001); (b) León-Reina et al. (2003).

$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_2$ and $Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_2$ predicted to be isostructural





Acta Cryst. B (2007) 63: 251-256

References Summary and Conclusions

-- We developed and explained an SVD approach to objective assessment of numerical stability for Rietveld refinements.

Mercier et al. (2006), J. Appl. Cryst., 39: 458-465

-- A crystal-chemically parameterized model of $P6_3/m$ apatite was developed. Equivalence to standard crystallographic description has been shown.

Mercier et al. (2005), Acta Cryst. B, 61: 635-655

-- A TOPAS script was developed allowing direct least-squares extraction of crystal-chemical parameters for $P6_3/m$ apatites.

Mercier et al. (2006), J. Appl. Cryst., 39: 369-375

-- By imposing *ab initio* results in a crystal-chemical Rietveld refinement, a precise description of Ca₁₀(V_xP_{1-x}O₄)₆F₂ eco-apatites has been obtained. Mercier *et al.* (2007), *Acta Cryst. B*, 63: 37-48

-- Triclinic apatites were demonstrated to correspond to a new structure type, by both neutron and X-ray diffraction as well as *ab initio* calculations.

Baikie et al. (2007), Acta Cryst. B, 61: 251-256

Inorganic Chemistry

The Crystal Chemistry of $Ca_{10-y}(SiO_4)_3(SO_4)_3CI_{2-x-2y}F_x$ Ellestadite

Yanan Fang,^{*,†} Clemens Ritter,[‡] and Tim White^{†,§}

[†]School of Materials Science & Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, 639798, Singapore [‡]Institute Laue-Langevin, 6 rue Jules Horowitz, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

[§]Centre for Advanced Microscopy, Sullivan's Creek Road, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200 Australia

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Fluor-chlorellestadite solid solutions $Ca_{10}(SiO_4)_3(SO_4)_3Cl_{2-x}F_{x^2}$ serving as prototype crystalline matrices for the fixation of hazardous fly ash, were synthesized and characterized by powder X-ray and neutron diffraction (PXRD and PND), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The lattice parameters of the ellestadites vary linearly with composition and show the expected shrinkage of unit cell volume as fluorine (IR = 1.33 Å) displaces chlorine (IR = 1.81 Å). FTIR spectra indicate little or no OH⁻ in the solid solutions. All compositions conform to $P6_3/m$ symmetry where F⁻ is located at the 2a (0, 0, 1/4) position, while Cl⁻ is displaced out of the 6h Ca(2) triangle plane and occupies 4e (0, 0, z) split positions with z ranging from 0.336(3) to 0.4315(3). Si/S randomly occupy the 6h tetrahedral site. Ellestadites rich in Cl ($x \le 1.2$) show an overall deficiency in halogens (<2 atom per formula unit), particularly Cl as a result of CaCl₂ volatilization, with charge balance achieved by the creation of Ca vacancies (Ca²⁺ + 2Cl⁻ $\rightarrow \Box_{Ca} + 2\Box_{Cl}$) leading to the formula $Ca_{10-y}(SiO_4)_3(SO_4)_3Cl_{2-x-2y}F_x$. For F-rich compositions the vacancies are found at Ca(2), while for Cl-rich ellestadites, vacancies are at Ca(1). It is likely the loss of CaCl₂ which leads tunnel anion vacancies promotes intertunnel positional disorder, preventing the formation of a $P2_1/b$ monoclinic dimorph, analogous to that reported for Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆Cl₂. Trends in structure with composition were analyzed using crystal-chemical parameters, whose systematic variations served to validate the quality of the Rietveld refinements.

🚓 ACS Publications

S © 2011 American Chemical Society

12641

dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic201673r | Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 12641-12650

P.H.J. Mercier





Inorganic Chemistry

The Crystal Chemistry of $Ca_{10-y}(SiO_4)_3(SO_4)_3Cl_{2-x-2y}F_x$ Ellestadite Yanan Fang,^{*,†} Clemens Ritter,[‡] and Tim White^{†,8}



Figure 9. Correlations observed between polyhedral distortion parameters: (a) δ_{Ca1} versus $\phi_{O3-Ca2-O3}$, (b) $\langle \tau_{O-B-O} \rangle_{a2}$ versus $\phi_{O3-Ca2-O3}$, (c) $\Psi_{Cal-O1}^{Cal z=0}$ versus $\alpha_{Ca\nu}$ and (d) α_{Ca2} versus $\phi_{O3-Ca2-O3}$. These figures should be compared with Figure.8 in Mercier et al.³³ Circles: published data; large filled squares: this study. (δ_{Cal} :counter-rotation angle of CaO₆ polyhedra; $\phi_{O3-Ca2-O3}$: O3-Ca2-O3 bond angle; $<\tau_{O-B-O}>: O-B-O$ bondbending angle; Ψ_{Ca1-O1} Ca1 z=0: angle that an Ca1_{z=0}-O1 bond makes with respect to c; α_{Ca1} : orientation of CaO₆ polyhedra with respect to a; \overline{g}_{Ca2} : orientation of Ca2 triangles with respect to a.).